

1

UCRL-ABS-225307 & UCRL-PRES-225807 Coherent Addition of Pulse for Energy (CAPE) Instrument and Data Fitting Model Study.



Michael C. Rushford, Stanley Davis^{1,2,3}, Antonio Lucianetti, Igor Jovanovic, Curtis G. Brown, Craig W. Siders, Wayne Brewer, Mike Taranowski, J. Hernandez, and C. P.J. Barty

National Ignition Facility, Photon Science and Applications Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA ¹Department of Physics, St. John's University, Queens, New York ²NIF Photon Science and Applications Faculty Scholar, 2006 ³Department of Physical, Environmental and Computer Science, Medgar Evers College of the City University of New York, Brooklyn, New York

CASIS 2006 Nov 16-17

This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy by University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No W-7405-Eng-48

Multi-kilojoule PW facilities with 40 cm square aperture Nd:glass amplifiers are being developed



Peak irradiance will be limited to $\sim 10^{21}$ W/cm²

1

A full aperture NIF HEPW beam line would produce up to 3.3 kJ per beam, but requires 1.82 m wide grating apertures





Tooling is not available for 2 meter wide grating fabrication Segmentation reduces substrate thickness, weight, cost and lead time 2 segment "tiling" of sub-aperture gratings with 91 cm substrates is possible

Segmented compressor designs exist for modern square aperture Nd:glass lasers



The National Ignition Facilit

Two approaches to deal with phase errors introduced by segmentation: Coherent Grating Tiling (CGT) and CAPE





NF-1004-00585

CGT^{1,2,3}

NE-1804-08586

CAPE^{4,5}

Coherent Grating Tiling

¹T. Zhang et al., Opt. Comm. **145**, 367 (1998) ²N. Miyanaga et al., IFSA Monterey, CA (2003) ³T. J. Kessler et al., Opt. Lett. **29**,635 (2004)

Coherent Addition of Pulses for Energy

⁴ M. C. Rushford et al., ICUIL Tahoe City,CA (2004)

⁵ N. Blanchot et al., Appl. Opt. (accepted -2006)

- Can Coherently combine pulses.
- Want Constructive interference at the combined focal spot center.
- Examined by spectrally dispersed Young's double slit interferogram.
- Fitting fringe locations finds the *difference* in *group delay* (GD) and *group delay dispersion* (GDD)...
- Minimize differences between pulses.

•Calculated a Young's double slit interferogram, for various OPD(GD, GDD, TOD) conditions, try the fitting.

•Use spatial phase stepping to extract the phase of these interferogram fringes -modulo 2PI-, unwrap the 2D array Thomas J. Flynn, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A/ Vol. 14, No. 10/October 1997, pg. 2692, extract the phase at Y=0 (i.e. OPD = 0) for each wavelength.

•Fit using Lab View to the phase (wavelength) as a Taylor expansion described by Tracy IEEE JOURNAL OF QCANTUM ELECTRONICS, VOL. QE-5, NO. 9, SEPTEMBER 1969

•Plot "stationary phase ranged points" (derivative of phase across wavelength axis is close to zero) so to point out the white light interference fringe and other calculation induced noise subtleties. Jose Calatroni ..., J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt. 5 (2003), s207-s210

•Discuss and improve:

Accuracy of code on synthetic data (we know the correct answer).
Noise: compare Spatial phase stepping and FFT phase measurement.-

Phase measurement via Spatial phase stepping

•Quad detector spacing error makes a phase angle error that is periodic between fringe peaks and is zero error every half wave.

•Reduce this error using methods of "Window function influence on phase error in phase-shifting algorithms" APPLIED OPTICS y Vol. 35, No. 28 y 1 October 1996 this reference also references spatial phase stepping.

•Can slide the Quad detector along each pixel of the lineout to measure phase along the sinusoidal pattern.

•The analog Intensity difference I1-I2 is used to phase lock the fringe position to very high bandwidth feedback.

•Phase stepping methods utilize less computation in calculating fringe phase compared to FFT methods.

MCR 11/10/200

Put simulated Interferogram into CAPE program

Orient and mask data

Phase measurement

Fit to simulator input of GD, GDD, TOD = 0

Fit to simulator input of GD = 1.5 fs

Fit to simulator input of GD = 1.5 fs, GDD = 1E+4 fs^2/rad

MCR 11/10/2006

🔀 CAPE-20060905-L¥8.vi

Fit to simulator input of GD = 1.5 fs, GDD = 1E+4 fs²/rad, TOD = 5E+6 fs³/rad²

Phase measurement method and Fit (error) study.

the accuracy of code on synthetic data (for which we know the correct answer).

	GD [fs]	GDD [fs^2/rad]	TOD [fs^3/rad^2]	FOD [fs^4/rad^]	
Simulator request	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	
fit in simulator	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	
fit from simulated fringes use phase stepping	0.1480	0.20	1.61	-327.00	
fit from simulated fringes use FFT	0.0103	-52.70	-716.00	3630000.00	
	Evidence GD can be measured accurately	Why i into the and the F	Vhy is there cross talk the higher order terms the FFT so much worse?		

•GD effects GDD, TOD and FOD and visa versa, why.

•This higher order cross talk is eliminated if the phase is measured along the Y axis which is the stationary phase offset at the central wavelength?

•Can the FFT methods be made more precise like seen with phase stepping?

CAPE fringes + staionary phase ranged points

The National Ignition Fa

Results for the code on some real lab data Shows a very "noisy" stationary phase - with no filtering applied.

The National Ignition Facility

MCR 11/10/2006