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Outline of This Presentation

• The Sustained Spheromak Physics Experiment
• Imaging and magnetic diagnostics.
• Numerical MHD simulations of SSPX, and spheromak formation hypotheses.
• The search for reconnection and flux ropes.
Sustained Spheromak Physics Experiment (SSPX)

- The research program has focused on two important areas:
  - Magnetic field generation by coaxial helicity injection with associated internal helicity.
  - The effect of magnetic fluctuations on energy confinement in the driven spheromak.
- Basic plasma science is an integral part of the SSPX program.

- SSPX has been operating at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory since 1999.

### Typical SSPX parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux conserver size:</th>
<th>0.5 × 0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radius × Height (m)</td>
<td>0.5 × 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of magnetic axis</td>
<td>0.31 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor radius</td>
<td>0.17 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak discharge current</td>
<td>550 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toroidal current</td>
<td>600 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak toroidal field</td>
<td>0.6 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge poloidal field</td>
<td>0.35 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma duration</td>
<td>11 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma density</td>
<td>7 × 10^{19} m^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Te</td>
<td>350 eV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SSPX facility at Livermore showing the vacuum vessel and major external diagnostics. Not shown: magnet supplies, capacitor banks, and control systems.
High-speed imaging diagnostic

The SSPX chamber has an opening in the flux conserver and several viewports for instrumentation and measurements.
Early Formation Sequence Seen With the Caltech High-speed Camera

Shortly after the column is formed, it kinks
Spheromak with open and closed surfaces

- Helicity injection and flux amplification processes still poorly understood.
- Reconnection is essential, but not sure when and where it happens.
- Both SSPX and NIMROD achieve closed (toroidally averaged) surfaces, but mechanisms appear to be different.

\[
\frac{dK}{dt} = 2V\Psi_g - 2 \int \eta J \cdot B d^3r
\]
Formation Hypotheses

Magnetic Reconnection and Helicity Injection

- Flux amplification involves reconnection, but the mechanism that leads to flux amplification in SSPX is still unknown.
- Two models proposed:
  1. NIMROD predicts negative current sheets near the X-point of the mean-field spheromak, with chaotic behavior prior to relaxation for spheromak formation.
  2. The transient column bends and forms two loops that then reconnect to form linked flux.

NIMROD also shows the transient column formation.

NIMROD: \( \lambda (\mu_0 J_0 / B) \) reversal (in 3D) and chaos prior to Taylor relaxation

Two-turn reconnection (in a localized region) to form linked flux
Insertable probes to study magnetic evolution

Measures \((B_r, B_\theta, B_z)\) along the entire machine radius. Array of 24 clusters every 2 cm.

- 72 chip inductors arranged in 24 clusters
- Measuring B field inside plasma column
- Chip inductors
  - Number of turns: 50
  - Inductance: ~5 \(\mu\)H
- Probe mounted on SSPX chamber
- Probe can be retracted without perturbing vacuum
A hollow current profile is measured before kinking.
Comparison Between NIMROD and SSPX

**NIMROD Magnetic Signal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B toroidal</th>
<th>B poloidal</th>
<th>SSPX poloidal</th>
<th>NIMROD poloidal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plasma first reaches the probe after breakdown.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( t_{\text{pd}} = 0 \mu s )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hollow central column. Magnetic signals are still similar, but in SSPX the central column is thinner.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( t_{\text{pd}} = 11.0 \mu s )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NIMROD has reversed poloidal field (necessary for equilibrium), but not SSPX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( t_{\text{pd}} = 15.6 \mu s )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numerical simulations reproduce closely the ejection of plasma out of the gun. However, NIMROD shows poloidal flux inside the bubble tenths of microseconds before what is observed in the experiment.*
Magnetic Reconnection is essential for formation

- Magnetic reconnection required to form closed flux surfaces.
- Challenging to find X-points and O-points in short time-scales and varying spatial scales.
Flux rope simulator

- A code was created to simulate current-carrying flux ropes with finite diameter and arbitrary shapes.
- The simulations are used to learn how signals from ropes are like as they fly past one or multiple probe arrays.

\[ B_p \times B_t \]

\[ B_t \]

\[ B_p \]

\( t_1 \)
Flux rope below probes

\( t_2 \)
Flux rope between probes

\( t_3 \)
Flux rope above probes
Flux ropes are inferred for short periods of time using the insertable probe.
However, still not enough to follow the entire kink process.

If a structure that passes by the probe within this interval is approx. constant, then a single probe array works as a double probe.

Using Ampere’s law, a single flux rope would carry ~ 50 kA.
Discussion

- Number of reconnection events during formation, together with helicity accounting in the formation column, will help elucidate spheromak formation.

- Algorithms to search for reconnection (X-points) and flux ropes (O-points) are being developed.
  - Solutions are non-unique for limited number of probes.
  - Multiple ropes and highly kinked structures pose even greater challenge.

(decay stage)
Future work

- Build a double-array probe that is movable inside the volume.
  - Search for X-points and O-points with automated software (challenge: to make the search ‘fast’).
  - Probe will further constraint the reconstruction of kinks in the column.

Probe mechanism must withstand ‘harsh’ plasma conditions.

Double probe inserted closed

Double probe opened inside flux conserver